

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,865 10/06/2000		5/2000	David Allison Bennett	PSTM0019/MRK	2835
29524	7590	11/18/2002			
KHORSANDI PATENT LAW GROUP, A.L.C. 140 S. LAKE., SUITE 312 PASADENA, CA 91101-4710				EXAMINER	
				RICE, KENNETH R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	
				DATE MAIL ED: 11/18/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/684,865 Bennett et al. Group Art Unit Kenneth R. Rice 3627

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Response

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	DEAPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enthe mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response with If NO period of response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX or Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause 	in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status				
 □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on □ This action is FINAL. □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 				
Disposition of Claims				
 ☑ Claim(s) _1-21 is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) _1-21 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 	n.			
Application Papers				
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, Pl □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Status of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 to all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prioric creceived. □ received in Application No □ received in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received: 	rity documents have been			
Attachment(s)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Other 			
Office Action Summary				

J. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. 10

PART III: REASONS FOR REJECTIONS AND OBJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 USC 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 USC 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 USC 103.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Roach et al. Roach et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Roach et al does not disclose the use of a global communications network. Global communication networks have existed since the first transatlantic telegraph cable was laid in the mid 19th century and have evolved into a variety of globe spanning networks such as the Internet and modern telephone networks. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the computer system of Roach et al on a global network in order to provide the latest shipping rates and other information to the users.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Ramsden et al. Ramsden et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ramsden et al does not disclose the use of a global communications network. Global communication networks have existed since the first transatlantic telegraph cable was laid in the mid 19th century and have evolved into a variety of globe spanning networks such as the Internet and modern telephone networks. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the computer system of Ramsden et al on a global network in order to provide the latest shipping rates and other information to the users.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Rice at (703) 308-3495. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Kenneth R. Rice Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627